

## REMARKS

At the time the Office Action issued, claims 78-88 were pending.

### Double Patenting Rejection under 35 USC §101


In Paragraph 4 of the Office Action, Examiner provisionally rejects claims 78-88 under 35 USC §101 because they claim the same invention as claims 78-88 of copending application 10/753,235. As of March 3, 2005, application 10/753,235 has been expressly abandoned. A copy of the Express Abandonment under 37 CFR 1.138 is enclosed. This rejection is therefore respectfully traversed, and withdrawal thereof is respectfully requested.

### Conclusion

Agent has addressed each and every objection and ground for rejection. The amended claims are patentable over the cited art and Agent requests that the application be allowed. In the event the Examiner has any questions or there are any issues with respect to the application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at the telephone number below prior to the issuance of any written action.

Respectfully submitted,

RONALD M. BASS et al.

By   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Their Attorney, Rachael Stiegel  
Registration No. 54,469  
(713) 241-1842

P. O. Box 2463  
Houston, Texas 77252-2463

### Enclosures:

Replacement Drawings (17 sheets)  
Express Abandonment under 37 CFR 1.138